

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO 08/903,395 07/22/97 KOSLOW E 861-001-9-1 **EXAMINER** IM62/1118 PAUL D. GREELEY LAM, C OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER & PERLE, L.L.P 21 ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 9TH FLOOR 1775 STAMFORD CT 06901-2682 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

11/18/99

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/903,395

Applicant(s)

Koslow et al

Examiner C. Lam

Group Art Unit 1775



-	THE DEDICTO FOR DESCRIPTION (1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	
'	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)] a) expires	
	mondio from the maining date of the linal rejection.	
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	l
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. Th date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within an period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	ıy
Α	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov. 4th 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
X	() The proposed amendment(s):	
	\square will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	🛛 will not be entered because:	
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
	XI they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	е
	\square they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE: there is no mention in the specification directs to the mixture of the particulate carbon and the thermopla binder being "a composite powder" nor does it mention the mixture becomes a "single composite structure The "single composite structure" on L 30 of P.2 refers to the whole structure including the webs.	istic ire".
	_	
	☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
X		
NZ7	for allowance because:	
	The specification does not clearly describe whether or not the carbon and binder were premixed before coated onto	the
_	underlying web. In view of the non-entry of the amendment, applicant's arguments do not reflect the current claim	*
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised the Examiner in the final rejection.	by
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
	Claims allowed: 16, 17, 20-23, and 44-48	
	Claims objected to:	
	Claims rejected: <u>18, 19, 24, and 25</u>	
	The proposed drawing correction filed on has not been approved by the Examiner.	
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
X	Other * limitations.	
×	Other * limitations. Cathy Lam TC 1200	